

CPP ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2010



NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Colony Bankcorp, Inc.

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Terry L. Hester	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	1085170
UST Sequence Number:	259	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP/CDCI Funds Received:	28,000,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	22257
CPP/CDCI Funds Repaid to Date:	0	Credit Union Charter Number: (For Credit Unions)	
Date Funded (first funding):	Jan 9, 2009	City:	Fitzgerald
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A	State:	Georgia

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) and Community Development Capital Initiative (CDCI). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP/CDCI investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP/CDCI investment was deployed or how many CPP/CDCI dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP/CDCI capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP/CDCI funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	CPP funds increased our capital position to allow for lending opportunities to credit worthy borrowers in 2010. Though gross outstanding loans decreased during 2010, CPP funds allowed strong capital to continue seeking new loan opportunities rather than cutting back on lending.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	The principal lending continues to be loans collateralized by real estate with the principal types of loans being owner-occupied commercial real estate, commercial loans, residential 1-4 loans and consumer loans. SBA lending during 2010 also continued to be a focus of our lending activities.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	Mortgage-backed securities balances increased approximately \$39.5 million in fair market value over the 12/31/2009 fair market value.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	The company provided \$13.35 million to the loan loss reserve during 2010, primarily to account for non-performing loan impairment. CPP funds allowed the Company to remain well-capitalized during 2010.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	The Company reduced FHLB borrowings \$20 million during 2010 and reduced repurchase agreement borrowings \$20 million during 2010.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	Gross charge-offs during 2010 totaled \$17.6 million. CPP funds allowed the Company to continue to be proactive during 2010 in addressing problem assets.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	CPP funds allowed the Company to maintain a well-capitalized position during 2010.

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?

CPP funds allowed the Company to maintain a well-capitalized position during 2010 so that we could continue seeking new loan opportunities and also be proactive in addressing problem assets. Without CPP funds, there would have been a strain on capital that would potentially put the Company in a capital preservation mode and minimize the opportunity to seek new loans. We remained in a well-capitalized capital position with all of the regulatory benchmarks and without the infusion could have slipped into an adequately capitalized position rather than well-capitalized. By maintaining a well-capitalized status, this avoided any constraints regarding liquidity and funding that could have occurred with capital positions being less than well-capitalized. Likewise, we avoided having to tap the capital markets for additional capital during 2010 which remained mostly unavailable to small-cap companies during 2009 and 2010.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?

CPP funds allowed the Company to continue to be proactive in addressing problem loan assets and to continue seeking new loan opportunities. We would have been unable to continue seeking new loan opportunities had our capital levels not remained well-capitalized during this challenging environment. Though we were unable to generate new loan activity to the degree of reflecting an increase in our loans outstanding, we were able to continue purchasing mortgage-backed securities that provided a boost to the overall housing industry. The intent of the CPP program was to stimulate the economy and we could not have been as active in purchasing mortgage-backed securities without the CPP funds.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds.

The Company was able to reduce its dependence on wholesale funding that included brokered and internet funding.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.