

CPP ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2013



NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

AmFirst Financial Services, Inc.

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Mark C. Korell	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	1059676
UST Sequence Number:	1320	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP/CDCI Funds Received:	5,000,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	5417
CPP/CDCI Funds Repaid to Date:	0	Credit Union Charter Number: (For Credit Unions)	
Date Funded (first funding):	Aug 21, 2009	City:	McCook
Date Repaid ¹ :	Aug 15, 2014	State:	Nebraska

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) and Community Development Capital Initiative (CDCI). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP/CDCI investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP/CDCI investment was deployed or how many CPP/CDCI dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP/CDCI capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP/CDCI funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	In 2009, AmFirst Bank had reduced its lending in order to preserve capital and focus on reducing problem loans. After funding in 2009 and continuing through, the Bank has been actively pursuing new loan relationships in local markets we serve in Nebraska and Colorado.
<input type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	The Bank has continued to purchase and maintain an increased securities portfolio with additional purchases of government guaranteed mortgage backed securities and municipal bonds issued by local municipalities.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	At June 30, 2009 prior to funding, the Banks allowance for loan losses (ALLL) was at 1.39% of total loans. After funding in 2009, the Band increased its ALLL to 1.74% at December 31, 2009 and further increased the ALLL to 1.84%, 1.78% and 1.71% as of December 31, 2010, 2011, 2012, respectively.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	In 2009, AmFirst Financial Services, Inc. used a portion of the proceeds to pay down the principal balance of a loan for which the proceeds were originally used to provide capital to grow AmFirst Bank. AmFirst has continued to pay down the principal balance on this loan.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?

AmFirst Financial Services, Inc. and its banking subsidiary, AmFirst Bank (collectively "AmFirst"), like many of the banks in the U.S. had adjusted its lending during the economic downturn in order to increase its capital ratios and build its allowance for loan and lease losses. The capital infusion of CPP funds in 2009 allowed AmFirst to once again pursue new loan relationships in the communities we serve in Nebraska and Colorado.

Since receiving the CPP funds in 2009, Amfirst has believed that the most beneficial use of this new capital was to leverage it to make additional loans. These additional loans would both increase the profitability of AmFirst and help to stimulate the economies of the communities we serve.

During 2013, the US economy continued to exhibit signs of ongoing recovery. AmFirst was able to increase lending into this improving economy and reported loan growth of \$11.5 million or 9.7% growth. 2014 projections call for continued lending growth of 10%.

AmFirst achieved this growth while maintaining a strong capital base, finishing 2013 with a Tier I leverage ratio of 9.87%.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for the respondent to provide their answer to the question above.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for the respondent to provide their answer to the question above.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.