



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANCORP

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Daniel J. Doyle	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	2935405
UST Sequence Number:	353	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP Funds Received:	7,000,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	23030
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0	City:	Fresno
Date Funded (first funding):	Jan 30, 2009	State:	California
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A		

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	Total gross loans decreased from 12/31/08 to 12/31/09 \$25.031 million or 5.2%. While the CPP Capital did not provide for direct increase in lending during the period, the CPP Capital did allow the banks classified assets to Tier 1 capital to be a more positive number.
<input type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	The Allowance for Loan Losses increased \$2.977 million or 41.22% comparing 12/31/08 to 12/31/09 by. While this would have taken place even without the CPP Capital there was a positive impact. CPP Capital replaced capital reduction due from increasing the reserve.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	The bank reduced borrowings from 12/31/08 to 12/31/09 by \$6.368 million and this reduced the liquidity of the bank. The bank was able to achieve adequate liquidity and this cannot be stated as totally a benefit from CPP capital, however, it was a positive contributor.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	The bank acquired another financial institution on November 12, 2008 that had financial difficulties. The CPP Capital replaced some of the capital used to make this acquisition and keep our bank within the Well Capitalized ratios.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

While it is difficult to attribute specific events and management decisions purely to the CPP Capital, we believe it did provide us the "cushion" in our capital and key bank ratios that we were not forced to shrink the performing loans of the bank, we were not forced to have a reduction in work force, we were able to work with customers on restructuring of loans and we were still able to provide support to our communities with banking services as well as donations and the talents of our employees.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

While it is difficult to attribute specific actions or management decisions purely on the CPP Capital, we did open a new branch office and hired seven additional employees in a market that fit our long term strategic plan, and a market where a headquartered bank had been placed into Receivership by the regulators. This allowed our bank the opportunity to continue to grow and serve the needs of a community. Having the CPP Capital, did give us the "cushion" to feel safe in making this long term investment.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.