



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Community Business Bank

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Mark S. Day
UST Sequence Number:	681
CPP Funds Received:	3,976,000
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0
Date Funded (first funding):	Feb 27, 2009
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A

RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	
Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	58159
City:	West Sacramento
State:	California

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	Lending has been increased primarily in areas related to single-family home production. It also allowed us to continue working with a small builder making construction loans to finish a small single-family home project. Concentration issues would have prevented making this type of loan.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	The Bank got involved with a customer that allowed him to purchase residential homes at foreclosure and put them back on the market quickly in order to provide quality inventory while cleaning up local neighborhoods and keeping his staff employed.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	The Bank was able to increase its reserves while aggressively charging off any loans deemed necessary.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	The Bank was able to temporarily reduce any borrowings needed; these funds were eventually replaced with core deposit growth and the TARP CPP funds were eventually used as mentioned for NPA reserves and loan chargeoffs.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	The Bank was able to charge off any non-performing loans deemed necessary while boosting its provisions to cover these chargeoffs. Without this capital, we might have been slower to implement these chargeoffs. The TARP CPP funds gave us "breathing room" with the regulators.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

We were able to avoid even attempting to raise capital in a private offering, which would have been extremely difficult and costly if it were even possible. The TARP capital allowed the maintenance of capital ratios higher than our peers in a very difficult time.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

The Bank was able to aggressively charge off loans and replace the reduction of the ALLL with higher loan loss provisions due to this additional capital. At the time of applying for the TARP CPP, Management was not aware of any loans that needed charging off, but we knew that there were definitely some credits that could deteriorate should the economy worsen. Less than a year later, we had charged off the amount of the TARP CPP capital and then some due to previously unknown and uncontrollable factors.

We were also able to be involved with the rehabilitation of a four-plex in a blighted area that was used for affordable housing.

We were also able to maintain our current staff levels. Without the TARP funding, it would have been very difficult to maintain these levels as we would have had to aggressively cut overhead in order to offset the significant loan loss provisions. We were therefore able to remain as a viable entity in the marketplace, while continuing our small business lending activities.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

We were able to support current customers that had programs that were building single-family residences.