



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

First BancTrust Corporation / First Bank & Trust, s.b.

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Jack R. Franklin	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	221476
CPP Funds Received:	\$7,350,000	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	\$0	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	29786
Date Funded (first funding):	2/20/2009	City:	Paris
Date Repaid ¹ :		State:	Illinois

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	As a direct result of the CPP program we were able to continue to service the growing needs of our customer base as was reflected in the year over year 5% increase in loans by the institution.
-------------------------------------	---	--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	The major categories of lending that was supported by the CPP capital were residential and commercial mortgage loans
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	We were also able to increase our levels of securities as a percentage of total assets which served to strengthen our liquidity position during a very uncertain economic times.
<input type="checkbox"/> Make other investments	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase reserves for non-performing assets	Reserves were increased as a percentage of non-performing assets at the same time that record charge offs were being managed.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reduce borrowings	As a result of the economic crisis a large nationwide bank informed us that they were no longer in the business of funding lines of credit at small bank holding companies such as ours so the funding also served to replace a line of credit at the holding company level previously funded by this bank
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase charge-offs	The CPP supported our ability to recognize and charge off historically high levels of troubled assets with minimal effects to our capital levels.
<input type="checkbox"/> Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input type="checkbox"/> Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

As a result of the CPP funds we were able to avoid the prospect of raising capital at a time that would have been difficult and expensive to accomplish which could have placed an additional burden on the institution in a very difficult economic environment. The funds also served as a cash buffer at the holding company level as traditional bank to bank lending activity diminished and profitability levels decreased. Also as a result of the capital infusion we did not have to reduce or suspend lending activities at a time when our customers needed funding the most. We were able to withstand the effect of record level of charge-offs as we dealt with our non-performing loans throughout the year as well.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

We were able to complete the construction and opening of an additional branch that was needed to better serve our customers in our fastest growing market. As referenced earlier it provided us with the ability to continue to lend to our customer base while at the same time deal with credits that had become distressed as a result of the depressed economic conditions

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

We were able to establish a "pool" of funds to offer to customers with unconventional but realistic mortgage needs.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.