



## ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

### NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

First Community Corporation

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Joseph Sawyer
UST Sequence Number:	78
CPP Funds Received:	11,350,000
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0
Date Funded (first funding):	N/A
Date Repaid <sup>1</sup> :	N/A

RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	0002337401
Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	34047
City:	Lexington
State:	South Carolina

<sup>1</sup>If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

*American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.*

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	Loans increased by 11.2 million during 2009 which represents a 3.4% increase over 2008. In the current economic environment this was a reasonable expected growth. The capital provided by CPP enabled us to grow our assets through quality loan growth.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	The loan growth was primarily in first lien 1-4 family residential property and loans secured by owner occupied non-residential property.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

This capital acquired through the CPP provided the company with an additional regulatory capital cushion in the event of further asset deterioration in our loan and investment portfolios.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

To date these funds have been employed exactly as we had anticipated and more importantly we believe exactly as was intended ur