



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

First Defiance Financial Corp.

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Donald Hileman
UST Sequence Number:	UST 108
CPP Funds Received:	37,000,000
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0
Date Funded (first funding):	Dec 5, 2008
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A

RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	
Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	H2513
FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	29845
City:	Defiance
State:	Ohio

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	We were able to maintain a loan origination process that looked for new lending opportunities while allowing for some selected loan run-off to protect and grow regulatory capital levels
<input type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	Allowed us to be in a position to repay a higher closing advance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

We were able to maintain the current loan portfolio and were not in a position to being forced to sell loan balances to maintain a strong total risk based capital level. We were in a position of adequate capital to support the growth of loan activity without losing customer relationships.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

We were able to stay in the loan origination market. While our balances ended up contracting, we were able to bid on new business opportunities and were not constrained from looking at new.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

We were able to communicate a position of strength to customers concerned with the long term viability of the company, and show