



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Madison Financial Corporation (MFC) / Madison Bank +

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Debra G. Neal, EVP
UST Sequence Number:	697
CPP Funds Received:	3,370,000
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0
Date Funded (first funding):	Mar 13, 2009
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A

RSSD: <small>(For Bank Holding Companies)</small>	9050 / 2552099
Holding Company Docket Number: <small>(For Thrift Holding Companies)</small>	
FDIC Certificate Number: <small>(For Depository Institutions)</small>	34306
City:	Richmond
State:	Kentucky

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input type="checkbox"/> Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	
<input type="checkbox"/> To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	Held remaining funds in deposit account for future injection to bank.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	Injected \$1.5 million into Madison Bank, large portion was transferred to Reserve for Loan Losses.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	Paid off Promissory Note of \$190 thousand.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

Majority of CPP Funds that were used went to increase Madison Bank's capital account. We did not have to seek outside sources for capital.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

We were able to continue with the operation of the bank with less disruptions if we would have had to raise additional capital.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

It allowed us to stay within our "well capitalized" status.