



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

PREMIER BANK HOLDING COMPANY/ PREMIER BANK

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	LINDA PALMER	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	2815468
UST Sequence Number:	867	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP Funds Received:	9,500,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	33992
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0	City:	TALLAHASSEE
Date Funded (first funding):	Mar 20, 2009	State:	Florida
Date Repaid ¹ :	N/A		

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	See below comment.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	\$8.9 million was earmarked for lending activity: \$1.6 million used to fund 10 owner-occupied residences, \$4.3 million funded a 176-unit apartment complex, of which 164 units were below fair market rents & located in a moderate income level census tract and \$3.0 used for residential loans HFS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	As a result of the depressed real estate market in Florida, the bank increased its allowance for loan losses by \$2.6 million from 3/31/09 through 12/31/09.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	During 2009, the holding company downstreamed the \$9.5 million to the bank as a capital infusion.

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

The bank's capital ratios did not fall below "well-capitalized" as of 12/31/09 due to the holding company's ability to downstream the CPP funds of \$9.5 million as a capital infusion to the bank.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

The bank utilized a portion of these funds to make the loans listed above in paragraph 2 (increased lending activity).

As mentioned above, the holding company was able to downstream \$9.5 million as a capital infusion to the bank and maintained a "well-capitalized" level.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

Not applicable.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.