



## ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

### NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Pulaski Financial Corp.

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Paul Milano, CFO	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	N/A
UST Sequence Number:	507	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	H3185
CPP Funds Received:	32,538,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	30284
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	0	City:	St. Louis
Date Funded (first funding):	01/16/2009	State:	Missouri
Date Repaid <sup>1</sup> :	N/A		

<sup>1</sup>If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

*American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.*

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	See response below.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	see response below
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	The holding company repaid \$7.65 million of senior debt of the company, \$5 million of which had previously been invested in the subsidiary bank as additional paid in capital.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	See response below.

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

The holding company was able further strengthen its subsidiary bank's regulatory capital levels above the minimum levels to be considered "well capitalized" at a time when banking regulators were generally requiring banks to hold higher capital levels. As a result, the bank was able to avoid curtailment of its lending activities because of capital constraints.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

The CPP capital was initially used to increase the regulatory capital levels at the subsidiary bank. For example, the bank's total risk-based capital ratio as of the quarter end immediately preceding the receipt of the funds (December 31, 2008) was 10.26%. On a pro forma basis after giving effect to receipt of the funds, the bank's December 31, 2008 total risk-based capital ratio would have been 12.02%. This allowed the bank to maintain a safe margin of capital above the minimum levels to be considered "well capitalized" and to continue lending to its commercial and residential customers. Total mortgage and nonmortgage loans increased approximately 5% to \$1.282 billion at December 31, 2009 from \$1.225 billion at December 31, 2008. Loan originations for the year ended December 31, 2009 totaled \$3.26 billion compared with \$2.02 billion in 2008. Loan originations for 2009 included \$2.73 billion in single family mortgage loans (including \$2.53 billion in loans originated for sale in the secondary market), \$529.6 million in commercial real estate and commercial nonmortgage loans and \$2.2 million in consumer loans.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

The CPP capital was initially used to increase the regulatory capital levels at the subsidiary bank. As a result of its stronger capital pos