



ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009

NAME OF INSTITUTION

(Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

State Street Corporation

Person to be contacted regarding this report:	Stefan Gavel	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	1111435
UST Sequence Number:	20	Holding Company Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	n/a
CPP Funds Received:	2,000,000,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	00014
CPP Funds Repaid to Date:	2,000,000,000	City:	Boston
Date Funded (first funding):	Oct 28, 2008	State:	Massachusetts
Date Repaid ¹ :	Jun 17, 2009		

¹If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.	From 01/01/2009 to 06/30/2009 State Street approved and closed a total of \$6.55 billion of new and net renewal credit facilities to its customers.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).	State Street, which acts as a trust bank rather than a conventional retail or commercial bank, increased the available credit and liquidity provided to its customers, primarily through the provision of the lines of credit referenced above, to support securities settlements and collective fund liquidity.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Make other investments	State Street also engaged in other investments consistent with the goals of the CPP, including new commitments and funding of low-income housing investments, energy investments and municipal bond liquidity and credit enhancements totaling \$616 million from 01/01/2009 to 06/30/2009.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase reserves for non-performing assets	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reduce borrowings	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increase charge-offs	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?

In October 2008, Treasury invited State Street and several other leading financial institutions to initiate the CPP. Due to the timing and circumstances of our participation in the CPP, we did not enter the program with goals focused on our operations. We believe that the Treasury requested State Street's participation in the CPP to aid Treasury's goal of increasing confidence in the financial markets, with the selection of State Street focusing on our role in securities settlement and money market and other mutual fund servicing. However, we exited the program in June 2009 as we also believe our continued participation no longer was necessary for that goal and that the funds representing Treasury's investment in State Street could be better utilized if redeployed by Treasury in its efforts to stabilize the U.S. economy. During our brief participation in the CPP, and except as otherwise noted in this 2009 Annual Use of Capital Survey, we do not believe that Treasury's CPP investment permitted us to avoid taking any material actions or to take any material actions we would not have taken without those funds.

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

Please see our response to the immediately preceding question, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.

State Street provides investment servicing and investment management services, mainly of "back-office" or "middle-office" nature, to