Fiscal Year 2022 Statutory Review of Compliance With Notice of Federal Tax Lien Filing Due Process Procedures

September 12, 2022

Report Number: 2022-30-060
Why TIGTA Did This Audit

TIGTA is required by law to determine annually whether lien notices issued by the IRS comply with the legal requirements set forth in Internal Revenue Code (I.R.C.) § 6320(a).

Impact on Tax Administration

After filing the first Form 668(Y)(c), Notice of Federal Tax Lien (NFTL), on a delinquent tax module, the IRS must notify the affected taxpayers in writing, at their last known address, within five business days of the NFTL filing. Taxpayers may not be timely advised of their appeal rights if the IRS does not comply with this statutory requirement.

Although I.R.C. § 6320(c) specifically incorporates I.R.C. § 6330(e) (which applies to Collection Due Process (CDP) hearings in the event of a levy) such that it would appear that collection action should cease during the period from which a lien CDP hearing is requested and resolved, the Treasury Regulations specify that levy action is permissible. However, as TIGTA has reported previously, the IRS’s policy is that generally most collection action will not take place during a lien CDP period.

What TIGTA Found

Our review of a statistically valid sample of 117 NFTLs determined that the IRS did not always timely mail the NFTL and notice of CDP appeal rights to the taxpayers’ last known addresses due to a programming error that has since been corrected.

In addition, undelivered lien notices were not properly worked to identify a correct address for the taxpayer in every case. A judgmental sample of 34 undelivered lien notices identified five cases for which the address on the original I.R.C. § 6320 CDP lien notice and the current address on the IRS computer system did not agree.

The IRS will grant an “equivalent hearing” if taxpayers request one after the 30–calendar-day period set forth in I.R.C. § 6320 but within one year following the five-business-day period after the NFTL is filed. However, IRS procedures do not require that notices be resent if the original notice was returned as undeliverable due to a change in address that occurred more than two weeks after the notice was mailed. The IRS did not reissue the I.R.C. § 6320 CDP notice to some of the five taxpayers in our sample of 34 undelivered lien notices at the updated address because their address change was more than two weeks after the notice was sent.

Taxpayer representatives should be provided copies of all taxpayer correspondence if authorized. However, the IRS did not provide six I.R.C. § 6320 CDP notices for the 57 sample cases in which the taxpayer had an authorized representative (5 percent of the total sample and 11 percent of the 57 taxpayers identified with an authorized representative).

TIGTA also found that the IRS did not file NFTLs for more than 1 million individual and business taxpayers with balances due of more than $10,000 during the period of our review, even though an NFTL filing is generally required under such circumstances. TIGTA plans to conduct additional analysis related to this issue in a future review.

What TIGTA Recommended

TIGTA recommended that the Director, Collection Policy, Small Business/Self-Employed Division: 1) reinforce Internal Revenue Manual guidance to ensure that taxpayers’ representatives are notified of NFTL filings and 2) correct an Internal Revenue Manual reference on Written Communication to a Taxpayer’s Authorized Representative.

IRS management agreed with TIGTA’s recommendations. The IRS agreed to issue an e-mail or alert to remind employees to notify the taxpayer’s authorized representatives when filing an NFTL. The IRS also agreed to request an Interim Procedure Update to correct the Internal Revenue Manual reference.
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MEMORANDUM FOR:  COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE

FROM:  Heather M. Hill
        Deputy Inspector General for Audit

SUBJECT:  Final Audit Report – Fiscal Year 2022 Statutory Review of Compliance
           With Notice of Federal Tax Lien Filing Due Process Procedures
           (Audit # 202230001)

This report presents the results of our review to determine whether liens issued by the Internal
Revenue Service comply with legal guidelines set forth in the Internal Revenue Code and
Treasury Regulations.  This review is part of our Fiscal Year 2022 Annual Audit Plan and
addresses the major management and performance challenge of Protecting Taxpayer Rights.
Management’s complete response to the draft report is included as Appendix VI.

Copies of this report are also being sent to the Internal Revenue Service managers affected by
the report recommendations.  If you have any questions, please contact me or Matthew A. Weir,
Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Compliance and Enforcement Operations).
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Background

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) attempts to collect Federal taxes due from taxpayers by sending letters, making telephone calls, and meeting face-to-face with taxpayers. As a matter of law, a lien arises upon the occurrence of a tax delinquency and encumbers the property of the delinquent taxpayer.\(^1\) To protect the Government’s claim, the IRS has the authority to file a notice of the lien in the appropriate State and local offices of record.\(^2\) The IRS files a Form 668(Y)(c), Notice of Federal Tax Lien (NFTL), in appropriate local government offices to notify interested parties that a lien exists.

The Internal Revenue Code (I.R.C.) has long required the IRS to notify taxpayers in writing of the filing of an NFTL; however, the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 expanded upon this notice requirement, creating I.R.C. § 6320 to give taxpayers additional rights.\(^3\) When the first NFTL is filed for a tax period, the lien notice must be issued within five business days of the filing of the NFTL and inform taxpayers of the right to elect a Collection Due Process (CDP) hearing wherein the taxpayer can raise any relevant issue, including spousal defenses, the appropriateness of the collection actions, and collection alternatives. The IRS issues a Letter 3172, Notice of Federal Tax Lien Filing and Your Right to a Hearing Under IRC § 6320, to advise taxpayers that they have 30 calendar days, after the five-business-day period, to request a CDP hearing with the IRS’s Office of Appeals. The lien notice indicates the date on which this 30-calendar-day period expires. When a subsequent NFTL is filed for the same assessments for which the taxpayer previously was issued a right to a hearing, a Letter 3171, Notice of Federal Tax Lien Additional Filing, is issued.

If the taxpayer fails to request a CDP hearing within the 30-calendar-day period, the IRS may grant an “equivalent hearing.”\(^4\) Taxpayers can file a request for an equivalent hearing within one year following the five-business-day period after the NFTL is filed. An equivalent CDP hearing is similar to a regular CDP hearing; however, the taxpayer cannot seek judicial review of the Office of Appeals’ decision.\(^5\) Although I.R.C. § 6320(c) incorporates I.R.C. § 6330(e) (which applies to CDP hearings in the event of a levy) such that, by the plain reading of the statute, all collection action should cease during the period in which a lien CDP hearing is requested and resolved, the IRS has previously stated that the Treasury Regulations specify that levy action is permissible.\(^6\) However, as we have reported previously, the IRS’s policy is that, except as provided for in specific situations, collection action will generally not take place during a lien CDP period.

---

1 I.R.C. §§ 6321 and 6323.
2 I.R.C. § 6323.
4 Treas. Reg. § 301.6320-1(i)(2) Q&A-17.
5 Treas. Reg. § 301.6320-1(i)(2) Q&A-16.
6 Treas. Reg. § 301.6320-1(g) Q&A-3.
The law also requires that the lien notice explain, in simple terms, the amount of unpaid tax, other administrative appeal rights available to the taxpayer, and provisions of the law and procedures related to the release of the lien on the property. The lien notice must be given in person, left at the taxpayer’s home or business, or sent by certified or registered mail to the taxpayer’s last known address.

Depending on employee access and case status, NFTL requests can be generated using one of three IRS systems: 1) the Integrated Collection System (ICS), 2) the Automated Collection System (ACS), or 3) directly input into the Automated Lien System (ALS).

Figure 1 shows the annual NFTL filings for the past five fiscal years. NFTL filings reached a peak of 1,096,376 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 and have generally declined since then, except for FY 2019. NFTL filings reached a low of 212,251 in FY 2021, which parallels with a decline in the number of revenue officers of more than 52 percent, from 5,922 at the end of FY 2010 to 2,837 at the end of FY 2021. The reduced number of filings in FY 2020 was due, in part, to suspension of collection activities, including the filing of new NFTLs, from April 1, 2020, through September 30, 2020, due to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. The reduced number of filings in FY 2021 was due, in part, to delays in resuming automated enforcement activities while backlogs of incoming mail and outgoing notices were being resolved.

Figure 1: Number of NFTLs Filed for FYs 2017 Through 2021

![Bar chart showing NFTL filings from FY 2017 to FY 2021](chart.png)

*Source: IRS Data Book for FYs 2017 through 2021.*

I.R.C. § 6320(c) provides that, for purposes of a taxpayer’s appeal of an NFTL, certain paragraphs of I.R.C. § 6330 shall apply. I.R.C. § 6320 specifically incorporates the provisions of

---

8 See Appendices II and III for information about these systems and their use.
9 I.R.C. §§ 6320(c), Conduct of hearing; review; suspensions, and 6330(e), Suspension of collections and statute of limitations.
I.R.C. § 6330(e), which provides for a stay of most collection activity during the CDP period. The IRS states its policy is that levy actions generally shall be suspended for the period during which such hearing and appeals therein are pending. However, question and answer G-3 of Treasury Regulation § 301.6320-1 states that levies are not prohibited during NFTL CDP hearings.

We are required to determine annually whether the IRS complied with the law pertaining to CDP rights when filing NFTLs. This is our twenty-fourth annual audit to determine whether the IRS complied with the legal requirements of I.R.C. § 6320(a) and its own related internal guidelines for issuing lien notices. In the previous four years, we have reported full compliance with the law of timely notifying taxpayers each time an NFTL is filed. However, in this year’s review, 17 notices were mailed late during the first three weeks of October 2020 due to a programming issue. In addition, we have reported that the IRS had not achieved full compliance with guidelines involving power of attorney notifications in four of the past five years.

On March 25, 2020, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the IRS announced the People First Initiative to ease the burden on people facing tax issues. This initiative included a series of steps to assist taxpayers by providing relief on a variety of issues ranging from easing payment guidelines to postponing compliance actions, such as filing NFTLs. Beginning April 1, 2020, and continuing through July 15, 2020, the IRS suspended collection activities, including new NFTLs, unless there was a risk of permanent loss to the Government due to certain circumstances or the taxpayer agreed to the action. Although some enforcement processes were authorized to resume on July 16, 2020, the suspension of NFTL filings remained in effect until September 30, 2020. This suspension affected the first three months of the NFTL sample review period for this year’s review (July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2021). Automated enforcement activities

10 I.R.C. § 6320(c) specifically incorporates I.R.C. § 6330(e), which provides for the suspension of the collections statute of limitations. “(1) In general – Except as provided in paragraph (2), if a hearing is requested under subsection (a)(3)(B), the levy actions which are the subject of the requested hearing and the running of any period of limitations under section 6502 (relating to collection after assessment), section 6531 (relating to criminal prosecutions), or section 6532 (relating to other suits) shall be suspended for the period during which such hearing, and appeals therein, are pending. In no event shall any such period expire before the 90th day after the day on which there is a final determination in such hearing. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 7421(a), the beginning of a levy or proceeding during the time the suspension under this paragraph is in force may be enjoined by a proceeding in the proper court, including the Tax Court. The Tax Court shall have no jurisdiction under this paragraph to enjoin any action or proceeding unless a timely appeal has been filed under subsection (d)(1) and then only in respect of the unpaid tax or proposed levy to which the determination being appealed relates.”
11 I.R.C. § 6330(e) and (f).
12 Treasury Regulation § 301.6320-1, question and answer G-3, provides: “The IRS may levy for tax periods and taxes covered by the CDP Notice under § 6320 and for other taxes and periods if the CDP requirements under § 6330 for those taxes and periods have been satisfied.” IRS management has stated that they believe that this Treasury Regulation question and answer reflects the plain language interpretation of these provisions in conjunction with I.R.C. § 6320(c).
14 See Appendix V for a list of the prior five TIGTA reports.
15 The determination of certain circumstances required approval from the Director, Collection, Small Business/Self-Employed Division.
did not immediately resume while backlogs of incoming mail and outgoing notices were being resolved.

Results of Review

Our review of a statistically valid random sample of 117 NFTLs from the 156,998 NFTLs filed between July 1, 2020, and June 30, 2021, found that the IRS did not always timely mail a copy of the NFTL to the last known address of all taxpayers as required by I.R.C. § 6320(a).16

I.R.C. § 6320(a) requires the IRS to notify taxpayers in writing, at their last known address, within five business days of the filing of an NFTL.17 However, our review of a judgmental sample of undelivered lien notices showed that the IRS did not always use the taxpayer’s last known address when sending the notices.18 Also, the IRS did not file NFTLs for more than 1 million individual and business taxpayers with balances due of more than $10,000 during the period of our review.

Lien Notices Were Generally, but Not Always, Timely Mailed to Taxpayers

Our review of a statistically valid random sample of lien notices showed that the IRS usually printed and mailed lien notices in a timely manner. However, for 17 (14.5 percent) of 117 sampled notices, the notices were not mailed within five business days as required by I.R.C. § 6320(a). All 17 notices that were mailed late were generated during the first three weeks of October 2020. The IRS identified the underlying cause of the problem to be a programming problem caused during migration of data to a new platform. The notices were reissued to the impacted taxpayers and provided a new appeal window.

Undelivered Lien Notices Were Generally, but Not Always, Properly Worked

Our review of a judgmental sample of undelivered lien notices showed that the IRS usually sent lien notices to the taxpayer’s last known address. However, copies of undelivered lien notices were not always sent or resent to taxpayers when the IRS received an address change. In addition, IRS employees did not always perform required research and follow processing procedures.

16 The sample population consisted of all lien notices both prepared and printed nationwide from July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, that originated in the ICS, ALS, or ACS. Our sample was selected using a 90 percent confidence interval, 2 percent error rate, and ± 2.5 percent precision factor. We used an exact procedure based on the hypergeometric distribution.

17 I.R.C. § 6320(a)(3) addresses the notice of the amount of unpaid tax, the right to request a hearing, administrative appeal available, procedures for the release of liens, and the provisions of I.R.C. § 7345 on the certification of seriously delinquent tax debt.

18 A judgmental sample is a nonprobability sample, the results of which cannot be used to project to the population.
Although undelivered lien notices were generally sent to correct addresses as required, copies of undelivered notices were not always resent to new addresses

We selected a judgmental sample of 34 lien notices that were sent by certified mail to the taxpayer but returned by the U.S. Postal Service to the Cincinnati and Fresno Campuses in January and February 2022.¹⁹ Lien notices are generally returned because the taxpayer refused to accept delivery (refused), would not sign for the certified letter (unclaimed), or the letter was undeliverable due to an incorrect mailing address (undelivered).

Our review of the returned notices identified five I.R.C. § 6320 CDP notices for which the address currently on the IRS computer system and the address on the original lien notice did not agree.

IRS procedures require the lien notice be sent to the updated address when the Master File is updated before the NFTL was requested.²⁰

The ACS Support function’s IRM section permits notices to be reissued on what the section terms a “courtesy copy” procedure.²¹ Specifically, the procedure advises that ACS Support function employees may send a copy of the lien notice to a taxpayer’s new address if the new address was effective within two weeks after the original lien notice was prepared. Although the IRM states that the courtesy copy procedures are not mandatory, we believe that it is in the best interest of taxpayers for the IRS to resend undelivered lien notices when addresses have been updated because the lien notice contains both the information that an NFTL has been filed and instructions on how to request a CDP hearing. Although taxpayers have one year following the five-business-day period after the NFTL is filed to request an equivalent CDP hearing, in our judgmental sample of 34 undelivered NFTLs may never receive their lien notices.

The IRS should resend the lien notice if it determines that the notice was not sent to the taxpayer’s current address regardless of when the address was updated. ACS Support function employees, who perform research for the taxpayer’s last known address as part of the requirements for working undelivered mail, could take additional steps to input updated address information into the ALS to regenerate the notices. Because this service would support

---

¹⁹ See Appendix VII for a glossary of terms.
²⁰ IRM 5.19.6.18.4 (Nov. 4, 2016).
²¹ IRM 5.19.6.18.4 (Nov. 4, 2016).
the taxpayers’ right to be informed, we believe the IRS should not limit the option to resend lien notices to only those taxpayers who had an address change within two weeks after the NFTL preparation date.  

In our FY 2017 report, we recommended that the IRS expand these undelivered lien notice procedures in the IRM to allow a copy of the lien notice to be resent to those taxpayers whose original CDP lien notices were returned as undelivered.  

The IRS partially agreed to this recommendation by agreeing to include additional information on the equivalent hearing process on its CDP web page.  However, the IRS did not agree that expanding the IRM requirements for resending notices would significantly enhance taxpayer service.  The Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) responded to the proposed corrective action by noting that providing additional information on the CDP web page does not help taxpayers who do not receive a copy of their lien notice.  Given that ACS Support function employees are already required to perform research for the taxpayer’s last known address when working undelivered mail, the additional step of sending a copy to a taxpayer’s newest address should not create an excessive burden on the IRS.

**Address research is still not performed as required in all cases**

During our review, we found that address research was not performed in every case.  We reviewed a judgmental sample of 34 returned lien notices and identified I.R.C. § 6320 CDP notice for which the IRS did not perform research to determine if a more current address was available for reissuance of the notice.

If ACS Support function employees determine that a more current address was available when the NFTL was requested in the ALS, they must request that the lien notice be reissued.  

Additionally, employees are required to document the date undelivered lien notices are received in the ACS Support function.  Documentation should be noted in the ACS action history codes (if the taxpayer’s account is still open) or in the Account Management Services narrative history (if the taxpayer’s account is closed).  Without timely research of undelivered lien notices, the IRS cannot ensure compliance with the statutory requirement to provide the taxpayer a copy of the NFTL at their last known address.  

In response to our FY 2017 report, IRS management updated IRM 5.19.6.18 to state that address research should be conducted for the appropriate taxpayer, and history documentation should reflect these actions.  Although the results of this year’s review show improvement, ACS Support function employees still do not always follow the internal processes.

---

22 I.R.C. § 7803(a)(3) lists the 10 taxpayer rights, with the first being the right to be informed.
24 IRM 5.19.6.18.4 (Nov. 4, 2016).
25 IRM 5.19.6.18.4 (Nov. 4, 2016).
26 I.R.C. § 6320.
27 IRM 5.19.6.18 (June 17, 2014).
Procedures designed to process undelivered lien notices were not always followed

When working undelivered lien notices, employees should input a specific Integrated Data Retrieval System (IDRS) transaction code with an appropriate action code. The transaction code signifies that the lien notices were returned, and the action code indicates the reason (i.e., undelivered, unclaimed, or refused). For the 34 returned lien notices addressed to taxpayers in our judgmental sample, six I.R.C. § 6320 CDP notices did not have the transaction code and action code on the Master File to indicate that the notices were returned as undelivered, unclaimed, or refused. One of the six notices involved an undelivered notice, which is the only type of returned notice required to be worked.

During our FY 2018 review, IRS management stated that, while the ALS User Guide provides instructions on how to update the status of returned lien notices with one of three action codes (undelivered, unclaimed, or refused), the IRM section for the ACS Support function states that unclaimed and refused notices do not have to be worked. Therefore, any lien notice returned to the ACS Support function as unclaimed or refused likely will not have a transaction code and action code in the Master File to indicate the returned delivery status of the notice. Of the 34 returned notices in the judgmental sample, five were unclaimed and did not have the transaction code on Master File for unclaimed notices. The lack of coding for these returned notices might affect other IRS functional employees who use the Master File account to obtain information about the taxpayer. For example, if one of the three action codes is not posted on the Master File to indicate a returned delivery status, it may appear as if the taxpayer received the lien notice.

In our FY 2021 report, we recommended that the IRS correct the IRM references for IRS employees to update delivery status when undeliverable mail is received and issue a Servicewide Electronic Research Program Alert reminding IRS employees to follow IRM instructions. The IRS agreed with this recommendation and anticipates publishing the revised IRM guidance later in FY 2022.

Enforcement Actions Were Suspended When Taxpayers Appealed Their Notices of Federal Tax Lien

I.R.C. § 6320(c) provides that certain paragraphs of I.R.C. § 6330 shall apply for purposes of a taxpayer’s appeal of an NFTL. Specifically, if a hearing is requested under I.R.C. § 6330, the law requires levy actions that are the subject of the requested hearing generally “shall be suspended

---

28 IRM 5.19.6.18.4 (Nov. 4, 2016).
29 IRM 5.19.6.18.4 (Nov. 4, 2016).
32 I.R.C. § 6320(c) incorporates I.R.C. § 6330 provisions related to matters considered at the hearing (§ 6330(c)), proceedings after the hearing (§ 6330(d)), suspension of collections and statute of limitations (§ 6330(e)), and frivolous requests for hearings (§ 6330(g)).
for the period during which such hearing, and appeals therein, are pending.”33 Our review of the 9,766 open NFTL appeal cases as of September 2021 found that the IRS suspended or did not take levy action that involved the same tax period as the NFTL under appeal.

**Taxpayer Representatives Were Not Always Correctly Notified**

Taxpayers have the right to retain a representative of their choice to represent them in matters with the IRS.34 When completing Form 2848, *Power of Attorney and Declaration of Representative*, to designate a representative, the taxpayer may check a box to indicate that the IRS should ordinarily send the representative(s) copies of notices and other written communications pertaining to the representation. If the taxpayer does not check the box, the IRS will not ordinarily send copies of notices to the listed representative.

Similarly, when completing Form 8821, *Tax Information Authorization*, taxpayers have an opportunity to check a box authorizing the IRS to send copies of notices or other written communications to their third-party designee on an ongoing basis. If the taxpayer does not check the box on Form 8821, the third-party designee is not authorized to receive notices on an ongoing basis. Taxpayer representative information is contained in the Centralized Authorization File. Using the IDRS, employees can research the Centralized Authorization File to determine if the taxpayer has a representative on file and whether or not that representative is authorized to receive notices.

Our review of a statistically valid sample of 117 lien notices identified a total of 57 (49 percent) cases in which the taxpayers had representatives authorized to receive notifications at the time the NFTLs were requested. However, our review of ALS records found that the IRS did not send copies of the lien notices to the taxpayers’ representatives for six I.R.C. § 6320 CDP notices (5 percent of the total sample of 117 and 11 percent of the 57 taxpayers identified with an authorized representative). We estimate that 8,051 taxpayers continue to be adversely affected because the IRS did not follow procedures to notify the taxpayers’ authorized representatives of the taxpayers’ rights related to NFTLs.35

Additionally, IRS procedural rules require that any notice or other written communication (or a copy thereof) required or permitted to be given to a taxpayer in any matter before the IRS must be given to the taxpayer and the representative unless restricted by the taxpayer.36 More specifically, when an NFTL is filed, IRS policy requires that a copy of the lien notice be sent to the taxpayer’s authorized representative as soon as possible after the lien notice is sent to the taxpayer.37 However, the applicable IRM section incorrectly refers the reader to another IRM

---

33 I.R.C. § 6330(e)(1).
35 The projection is based on six (5 percent) of 117 randomly sampled cases. The point estimate projection is 8,051 potential violations. In addition, we are 90 percent confident that the range of potential violations is between 3,545 and 15,493 taxpayers.
37 IRM 5.12.6.3.10 (Jan. 19, 2018). This IRM section incorrectly cross references IRM 5.1.23.3.2.3 instead of the correct section IRM 5.1.23.4.2.3.
section for additional guidance; however, the referenced section contains a typographical error and refers the reader to a non-existent IRM section.\textsuperscript{38}

We reported in four of our last five reviews that the IRS did not properly notify taxpayer representatives of NFTL filings and due process procedures.\textsuperscript{39} Our review this year confirms that taxpayers continue to be adversely affected.

The Director, Collection Policy, Small Business/Self-Employed Division, should:

**Recommendation 1:** Reinforce guidance in IRM 5.12.6.3.10 to ensure that taxpayers’ representatives are notified of NFTL filings.

**Management’s Response:** The IRS agreed with this recommendation and will issue an e-mail or alert to remind employees of notifying the taxpayer’s authorized representatives when filing an NFTL.

**Recommendation 2:** Correct the reference in IRM 5.12.6 to the section on *Written Communication to a Taxpayer’s Authorized Representative* in IRM 5.1.23.4.2.3.

**Management’s Response:** The IRS agreed with this recommendation and will request an Interim Procedure Update to correct the IRM 5.12.6 reference.

**Notices of Federal Tax Lien Are Not Always Filed for High-Dollar Delinquencies**

We identified populations of individuals and business entities that either had an assessed account balance greater than $10,000 without a NFTL filed or an assessed account balance less than $10,000 with a NFTL filed. IRS procedures generally require an NFTL filing determination be made when a taxpayer has an unpaid balance of assessment of $10,000 or more.\textsuperscript{40} Other factors for making a filing determination include the taxpayer’s compliance history, the proposed resolution of the unpaid balance, and whether the determination should be deferred to allow the taxpayer more time. Our analysis in Figure 2 shows that NFTLs were not filed for 1,337,932 individual and business taxpayers with balances due of more than $10,000 between June 12, 2020, and June 17, 2021.

\textsuperscript{38} IRM 5.12.6.3.10 (Dec. 26, 2019).

\textsuperscript{39} See Appendix IV for additional information on taxpayer representatives not receiving notification.

\textsuperscript{40} IRM 5.12.2.6 (Oct. 14, 2013).
Figure 2: Number of Taxpayers With Balances Due Amounts Above $10,000 From June 12, 2020, to June 17, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Due Amount</th>
<th>Business Taxpayers</th>
<th>Individual Taxpayers</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $50,000</td>
<td>204,536</td>
<td>910,721</td>
<td>1,115,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $100,000</td>
<td>23,461</td>
<td>97,105</td>
<td>120,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $500,000</td>
<td>21,054</td>
<td>66,971</td>
<td>88,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,001 - $1,000,000</td>
<td>2,799</td>
<td>7,975</td>
<td>10,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,001 - $10,000,000</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>3,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above $10,000,000</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>253,723</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,084,209</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,337,932</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TIGTA analysis of IRS Master File and Collection data.

We compared our data on individual taxpayers with a balance due amount of more than $10,000 and without an NFTL during our review period to data on taxpayers paying mortgage interest during the same period as recorded on Form 1098, Mortgage Interest Statement. Figure 3 shows that we found 219,618 individual taxpayers with balance due amounts of more than $10,000 during our review period who also received a Form 1098 reporting mortgage interest paid during this period, suggesting that the taxpayers owned property. TIGTA believes if a taxpayer owns property, there is a greater opportunity for the collection of unpaid taxes based on the value of the asset. In addition, the NFTL will protect the Government’s interest by establishing priority if competing with certain third-party claims.

Figure 3: Number of NFTLs Not Issued for Individuals With Balance Due Amounts Above $10,000 and Mortgage Interest Recorded on Form 1098 From June 12, 2020, to June 17, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Due Amount</th>
<th>Individual Taxpayers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $50,000</td>
<td>170,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $100,000</td>
<td>27,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $500,000</td>
<td>18,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,001 - $1,000,000</td>
<td>2,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,001 - $10,000,000</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above $10,000,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>219,618</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TIGTA analysis of data from IRS Individual Master File, Information Reporting Master File, and Collection data.
As a general rule, NFTLs should be filed to protect the Federal Government’s interest in having the tax debt paid.\textsuperscript{41} When asked for situations when an NFTL would not be filed, the IRS responded with the following:

- **Bankruptcy**: NFTLs usually cannot be filed for tax liabilities that existed before the bankruptcy while the automatic stay is in effect.
- **Deceased Individuals**: NFTLs are generally not filed when the taxpayer is deceased and there are no assets in the estate.
- **Fraud (Return Preparer/Payroll Service Misconduct)**: NFTL determination can be deferred to examine potential fraud.
- **CDP**: NFTL filings are generally suspended while a CDP is pending (except for the filing that gave rise to the CDP).
- **Installment Agreements**: An NFTL determination is not required for a streamline or guaranteed installment agreement but may be made based on the case facts.
- **Health Insurance Shared Responsibility Payments**: By statute, assessments made for Shared Responsibility Payments are not subject to NFTL filings.
- **Disaster Freeze**: Generally, collection activity is suspended for taxpayers in the disaster area during the length of the disaster declaration.
- **Identity Theft Victims**: NFTL determination can be deferred to investigate the situation.
- **Taxpayers Serving in a Combat Zone**: Generally, collection activity is suspended for taxpayers while in a combat zone.

We plan to conduct additional analysis in a future review as to the actual circumstances when the IRS does not file NFTLs for high-dollar delinquencies.

Moreover, an NFTL can be filed for any unpaid tax debt regardless of the amount owed, with some exceptions. However, it may not be economical for NFTLs to be filed when the total unpaid tax balance due is less than $10,000. We found that NFTLs were filed on 1,970 taxpayers (850 individuals and 1,120 businesses) with a balance due amount less than $10,000 during our review period.

\textsuperscript{41} IRM 5.12.2.6 (Oct. 14, 2013).
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Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology

The overall objective of this review was to determine whether liens issued by the IRS comply with legal guidelines set forth in the I.R.C. and Treasury Regulations. To accomplish our objective, we:

- Determined whether lien notices issued by the IRS complied with legal requirements set forth in I.R.C. § 6320(a) and related internal guidelines. From an ALS extract of 156,998 NFTLs filed by the IRS nationwide between July 1, 2020, and June 30, 2021, we selected a statistical sample of 117 cases to conduct additional testing and determine if lien notices adhered to legal guidelines regarding timely notifications of NFTL filings to the taxpayer, the taxpayer’s spouse, or business partners. A statistical sample was used to allow the results to be projected to the overall population. In addition, we used this sample to determine if the taxpayers’ representatives were provided a copy of the lien due process notice. TIGTA’s contracted statistician assisted with reviewing the sampling plans and projections.

- Evaluated the procedures for processing lien notices (Letter 3172) that are returned undelivered by reviewing a judgmentally selected sample of 34 returned notices obtained from the ACS Support functions in Fresno, California, and Florence, Kentucky. We used a judgmental sample because we could not determine the population of undelivered notices in advance.

- Determined whether the IRS complied with legal requirements set forth in I.R.C. § 6330(e) as they relate to any levy or seizure action involving the same tax period as the I.R.C. § 6320 NFTLs filed during FY 2021 (October 1, 2020, to September 30, 2021).

- Determined if internal guidelines have been implemented or modified since our last review by discussing procedures and controls with appropriate IRS personnel in the National Headquarters.

- Determined if NFTLs filed by the IRS followed internal guidelines for filing thresholds.

Performance of This Review

This review was performed at the Small Business/Self-Employed Division’s Centralized Lien Processing Operation and the ACS Support functions in Fresno, California; Covington, Kentucky; and Florence, Kentucky, and with information obtained from the Small Business/Self-Employed Division’s Office of Collection Policy in New Carrollton, Maryland, during the period.

---

1 The sample population consisted of all lien notices both prepared and printed nationwide from July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, that originated in the ICS, ALS, or ACS. Our sample was selected using a 90 percent confidence interval, 2 percent error rate, and ±2.5 percent precision factor. We used an exact procedure based on the hypergeometric distribution.

2 A judgmental sample is a nonprobability sample, the results of which cannot be used to project to the population. We selected our sample from all undelivered notices available at the ACS Support location in Fresno, California, on January 27, 2022, and the ACS Support location in Florence, Kentucky, on February 7, 2022.
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October 2021 through June 2022. We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

Major contributors to the report were Matthew A. Weir, Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Compliance and Enforcement Operations); Robert Jenness, Director; John Park, Audit Manager; Robert Steele, Lead Auditor; Richard Kemble, Senior Auditor; Kevin Nielsen, Information Technology Specialist (Data Analytics); Ryan Kenaley, Auditor; and Ali Vaezazizi, Auditor.

Validity and Reliability of Data From Computer-Based Systems

During this review, we relied on data stored at TIGTA’s Data Center Warehouse and performed analyses of data received from the IRS. We performed tests to assess the reliability of the data and evaluated the data by 1) performing electronic testing of required data elements, 2) reviewing existing information about the data and the system that produced them, and 3) interviewing agency officials knowledgeable about the data. We determined that the data were sufficiently reliable for purposes of this report.

Internal Controls Methodology

Internal controls relate to management’s plans, methods, and procedures used to meet their mission, goals, and objectives. Internal controls include the processes and procedures for planning, organizing, directing, and controlling program operations. They include the systems for measuring, reporting, and monitoring program performance. We determined that the following internal controls were relevant to our audit objective: the Small Business/ Self-Employed Division Collection function’s policies, procedures, and practices for timely notifying taxpayers of NFTL filings and timely verifying addresses of undelivered lien notices. We evaluated these controls by reviewing samples of lien notices sent to taxpayers and lien notices returned to the IRS as undelivered.
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Synopsis of the IRS Collection and Notice of Federal Tax Lien Filing Processes

The collection of unpaid tax begins with a series of letters (notices) sent to taxpayers advising them of their debt and asking for payment of the delinquent tax. IRS computer systems are programmed to mail these notices when certain criteria are met. If either personal (face-to-face) or telephone contact is required:

- IRS employees who make personal contact with taxpayers are called revenue officers and work in various locations. The ICS is used for workload management, case assignment/tracking, inventory control, and case analysis.¹

- IRS employees make telephone contact to collect unpaid taxes and secure delinquent returns. The ACS is used in the call sites to track collection actions taken on taxpayer accounts.

When these efforts have been taken and the taxpayer has not paid the tax liability, designated IRS employees are authorized to file an NFTL by sending a Form 668(Y)(c) to the appropriate local government offices. NFTLs protect the Federal Government’s interest by informing the public of its claim to the taxpayer’s assets for the amount of unpaid tax. The Federal tax lien is created by I.R.C. § 6321 when:

- The IRS has made an assessment and given the taxpayer notice of the assessment, stating the amount of the tax liability and demanding payment.

- The taxpayer has neglected or refused to pay the amount after the notice and demand for payment.

The authority to file an NFTL is found in I.R.C. § 6323. When employees request the filing of an NFTL using either the ICS or the ACS, the ALS processes the NFTL filing requests. In an expedited situation, employees can manually prepare the NFTL. Even for manually prepared NFTLs, the ALS controls and tracks NFTLs and initiates subsequent lien notices to notify responsible parties of NFTL filings and of their appeal rights.² The ALS maintains an electronic database of all open NFTLs and updates the IRS’s primary computer records to indicate that an NFTL has been filed.

Lien notices are provided to taxpayers by certified, registered mail or in person. To maintain a record of the notices, the IRS prepares a certified mail list (U.S. Postal Service Form 3877, Firm Mailing Book For Accountable Mail), which identifies each notice that is to be mailed. The U.S. Postal Service date-stamps the list and returns a copy to the IRS. The stamped certified mail list is the documentation the IRS has that certifies the date on which the notices were mailed. IRS guidelines require that the stamped certified mail list be retained for 10 years after the end of the processing year.

¹ See Appendix III for detailed descriptions of the IRS computer systems used in the filing of NFTLs.
² Letter 3172.
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Internal Revenue Service Computer Systems
Used in the Filing of Notices of Federal Tax Liens

**Automated Collection System** – The ACS accepts IDRS balance due and nonfiler cases requiring telephone contact for resolution and generates levies and correspondence. Tax examiners use the ACS’s case management abilities to contact taxpayers, review their case histories, issue notices, request NFTLs, and issue levies to resolve the cases.

**Automated Lien System** – The ALS stores NFTL and CDP document data and provides the tools for users to create, release, refile, and withdraw NFTLs; revoke releases; process CDP letters; and print NFTL and CDP letter facsimiles. Systemic NFTL requests are received from the ACS and the ICS. The ALS generates lien documents that are printed and processed for mailing by Centralized Lien Operations and the Consolidated Production Services.

**Integrated Collection System** – The ICS is a program that provides workload management, case assignment/tracking, inventory control, case analysis tools, and management information system capabilities to support the Small Business/Self-Employed Division’s Collection function fieldwork.

**Integrated Data Retrieval System** – The IDRS is an application consisting of databases and operating programs that support IRS employees working active tax cases within each business function across the entire IRS, allowing IRS employees to take specific actions on taxpayer account issues, track status, and post transaction updates back to the Master Files. Actions taken via the IDRS include notice issuance, installment agreement processing, offers in compromise, adjustment processing, penalty and interest computations and explanations, credit and debit transfers within an account or other related accounts, and research of taxpayer accounts for problem resolution of taxpayer inquiries.
### Appendix IV

**Confidence Intervals for Error Rates Reported on Taxpayer Representatives Not Receiving Notification for Reports Issued in Fiscal Years 2017 Through 2021**

Two-Sided 90 Percent Confidence Intervals Using the Exact Binomial Method for the Exception Rate for Reports Issued in FYs 2017 Through 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report FY</th>
<th>Sample Cases Requiring Representative Notification</th>
<th>Sample Cases Not Receiving Representative Notification</th>
<th>Error Rate</th>
<th>Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>Between 7.31% and 24.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>Between 0.5% and 4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>Not projected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not projected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>Not projected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Prior year results of TIGTA’s tests on taxpayer representatives not receiving notification when authorized.*
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Statutory Lien Reports Issued During Fiscal Years 2017 Through 2021


MEMORANDUM FOR HEATHER M. HILL
DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDIT
Amalia C. Colbert
Commissioner, Small Business/Self-Employed Division

FROM: Lia Colbert


Thank you for the opportunity to review the subject draft audit report, which evaluates whether the IRS is complying with the statutory requirements to notify taxpayers when a notice of federal tax lien (NFTL) is filed. The federal tax lien arises by operation of law and encumbers the property of a taxpayer who fails to pay their tax balance after an assessment is made. We may file a NFTL to notify interested parties that a lien encumbers the taxpayer’s property. When a NFTL is filed, we must provide notice to the taxpayer of the NFTL filing and their appeal rights.

Except in a few instances involving a systemic issue that we quickly resolved, you determined that we generally complied with the requirements for notifying taxpayers of NFTL filings and their appeal rights. Additionally, you determined that the IRS generally complied with the requirements for addressing undelivered notices of NFTL filings and adhered to the additional procedures we implemented to further protect taxpayer rights when those notices are undelivered. We are proud of the hard work and dedication of our employees who effectively administer our lien program.

We recognize the importance of ensuring that a taxpayer’s authorized representative is informed of the NFTL filing. As recommended in your report, we will update the references in the Internal Revenue Manual (IRM) 5.12.6 pertaining to authorized representative procedures and reinforce guidance on notifying representatives when filing a NFTL.
Your report identified a population of taxpayers who had an assessed account balance greater than $10,000 without a NFTL filed. This is not surprising as there are several factors we consider before filing a NFTL, including the specific circumstances of each taxpayer, for example, their compliance history. Also, we typically do not consider filing a NFTL until the balance due notice process is completed. This appropriately balances the desire of the IRS to collect taxes with the right of taxpayers to be reasonably informed. Additionally, there are other factors that impact the total number of NFTLs filed. For instance, NFTL filings were suspended during the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and are still only being filed on a limited basis.

We continually strive to improve our lien processes and we appreciate your recommendations. Attached are our responses to your recommendations. If you have any questions, please contact me, or Frederick W. Schindler, Director, Collection, Small Business/Self-Employed Division.

Attachment
**RECOMMENDATION 1:**
The Director, Collection Policy, Small Business/Self-Employed Division, should reinforce guidance in IRM 5.12.6.3.10 to ensure that taxpayers’ representatives are notified of NFTL filings.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION:**
Collection Policy will issue an email or alert to remind employees of notifying the taxpayer’s authorized representatives when filing an NFTL.

**IMPLEMENTATION DATE:**
October 15, 2022

**RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:**
Director, Collection Policy, Small Business/Self-Employed Division

**CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:**
IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.

**RECOMMENDATION 2:**
The Director, Collection Policy, Small Business/Self-Employed Division, should correct the reference in IRM 5.12.6 to the section on *Written Communication to a Taxpayer’s Authorized Representative* in IRM 5.1.23.4.2.3.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION:**
Collection Policy will request an Interim Procedure Update (IPU) to correct the IRM 5.12.6 reference.

**IMPLEMENTATION DATE:**
March 15, 2023

**RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:**
Director, Collection Policy, Small Business/Self-Employed Division

**CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:**
IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.
# Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Account Management Services</td>
<td>A computer-based system used to answer and resolve all taxpayer account inquiries. It provides a common interface that allows users of multiple IRS systems to view history and comments from other systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus</td>
<td>The data processing arm of the IRS. The campuses process paper and electronic submissions, correct errors, and forward data to the Computing Centers for analysis and posting to taxpayer accounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centralized Authorization File</td>
<td>Contains information about the types of authorizations taxpayers have given their representatives for their tax returns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Data Retrieval System</td>
<td>IRS computer system capable of retrieving or updating stored information. It works in conjunction with a taxpayer’s account records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master File</td>
<td>The IRS database that stores various types of taxpayer account information. This database includes individual, business, and employee plans and exempt organizations data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>Automated Collection System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Automated Lien System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDP</td>
<td>Collection Due Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>Integrated Collection System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDRS</td>
<td>Integrated Data Retrieval System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.R.C.</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFTL</td>
<td>Notice of Federal Tax Lien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGTA</td>
<td>Treasury Inspector General for Tax Adminis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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To report fraud, waste, or abuse, call our toll-free hotline at:
(800) 366-4484

By Web:
www.treasury.gov/tigta/

Or Write:
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration
P.O. Box 589
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0589

Information you provide is confidential, and you may remain anonymous.